Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition



Poster presentation

Open Access

Effects of ingesting a thermogenic/anti-inflammatory supplement while participating in a resistance training program on indices of body composition and metabolic, cardiovascular, muscular, and hemodynamic function in overweight females

Erika Nassar*, Jen Morellion, Geoffrey Hudson, Brian Shelmadine, Julie Culbertson, Thomas Buford, Richard Kreider and Darryn Willoughby

Address: Exercise & Biochemical Nutrition Lab, Baylor University, One Bear Place, Waco, TX, 76798-7313, USA

Email: Erika Nassar* - Erika_Nassar@baylor.edu

from 2008 International Society of Sports Nutrition Conference and Expo Las Vegas, NV, USA. 9-10 June 2008

Published: 17 September 2008

Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition 2008, 5(Suppl 1):P25 doi:10.1186/1550-2783-5-S1-P25

This abstract is available from: http://www.jissn.com/content/5/S1/P25

© 2008 Nassar et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Background

Sedentary, healthy, overweight women (n = 40) participated in a full-body resistance training program 3 days/ week. The study was performed in a randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled fashion.

Methods

Participants followed a structured, energy-restricted, low glycemic diet. Participants ingested either 250 mg of a thermogenic/anti-inflammatory supplement or a 250 mg placebo supplement. Body composition, performance variables, serum lipid variables, inflammation markers, obesity markers and GLUT4 values were obtained at week 0 and after weeks 4 and 8. Data were analyzed by repeated measures MANOVA and are presented as means ± SD. GLUT 4 values were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA are presented as means ± SD.

Results

Body weight reduction was significant between weeks 0 and 8 for both groups (88.45 ± 19.33 vs. 86.26 ± 19.17 , p = 0.000). BMI also had significant decreases in both groups between weeks 0 and 8 (33.21 ± 7.77 vs. 32.32 ± 7.76 , p = 0.000). Fat mass decreased significantly for both groups between weeks 0 and 8 (37.88 ± 13.04 vs. 36.18 ± 12.47 , p = 0.034). There was a significant decrease in waist

measurements for both groups between weeks 0 and 8 in both groups $(36.54 \pm 5.86 \text{ vs. } 35.44 \pm 5.67, \text{ p} = 0.000)$. Relative leg press strength increased in both groups between weeks 0 and 8 (2.22 \pm 0.62, p = 0.000). In addition, relative bench press strength increased in both groups between week 0 and 8 (0.38 \pm 0.10 vs. 0.435 \pm 0.11, p = 0.000). For serum lipid values, there was a significant time effect for TCHOL, LDL, and HDL. TCHOL decreased between weeks 0 and 8 (187.51 ± 26.45 vs. 173.97 ± 28.60 , p = 0.004). LDL decreased between weeks 0 and 8 (111.62 \pm 22.18 vs. 104.95 \pm 23.81, p = 0.048). HDL decreased between weeks 0 and 8 (56.46 \pm 10.67 vs. 51.82 ± 11.52 , p = 0.009). For insulin resistance markers there was a significant time effect for insulin and HOMA IR values. Insulin decreased between week 0 and 8 (257.1 \pm 229.7 vs. 179.3 \pm 127.7, p = 0.023). HOMA IR decreased between week 0 and 8 (2.9 \pm 2.5 vs. 2.1 \pm 1.4, p = 0.044). Ghrelin significantly increased in the experimental group between week 0 and 8 (480.61 \pm 197.58 vs. 551.46 \pm 224.81, p = 0.007). Overall leptin concentrations decreased significantly between week 0 and 8 in both groups (21367.6 \pm 10954.7 vs. 16794.7 \pm 10966.3, p = 0.019). There was a mild trend for a decrease in adiponectin concentrations between week 0 and 4 (11380.51 ± 5234.17 vs. 10370.94 ± 4803.42 , p = 0.081). In terms of inflammation markers ILIB were all below detectable lev-

^{*} Corresponding author

els. In addition, there were no significant effects for TNF α and IL6. GLUT4 data showed no significant effects. Caloric intake for both groups decreased significantly between week 0 and 8 (1820.73 ± 479.83 vs. 1279.45 ± 386.54, p = 0.000). Fat intake decreased significantly for both groups between weeks 0 and 8 (73.26 ± 21.19 vs. 43.69 ± 17.66, p = 0.000). Carbohydrate intake was reduced significantly in both groups between week 0 and 8 (222.92 ± 79.38 vs. 173.16 ± 58.23, p = 0.001). Sugar intake also decreased for both group significantly between week 0 and 8 (76.55 ± 44.49 vs. 53.48 ± 22.02, p = 0.004).

Conclusion

Results indicate that a full body resistance training program, in combination with an energy-restricted, low glycemic diet may help promote weight loss and strength gains.

Acknowledgements

Supported by a research grant from Unigen Pharmaceuticals (Lacey, WA).

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- \bullet peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- \bullet yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

